

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, 88TH REGIONAL SUPPORT COMMAND 506 ROEDER CIRCLE FORT SNELLING, MINNESOTA 55111-4009



REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

Deputy Chief of Staff, Engineering

Dear Ms. Ripley:



Enclosed are the environmental assessment and the APA checklist. I have also included the example correspondence letters between the Army Reserve and the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality regarding the one-time large quantity generator status exceedence.

Please feel free to contact me at (612) 713-3821 if you have any questions regarding the information that I have provided.

Sincerely,

Kurt F. Zacharias

Environmental Protection Specialist

Enclosures

Part 2 - Initial Site Evaluation

For Part 2, if information is not available to make a "yes" or "no" response, further investigation may be needed. In these cases, determine whether an APA is appropriate. Exhibit 1 parallels the questions in Part 2. Use Exhibit 1 to make decisions in Part 3.

If the answer is "no" to any of questions 1, 2, or 3, proceed directly to Part 3.			NO
1.	Does the site have a release or a potential to release?		×
2.	Does the site have uncontained sources containing CERCLA eligible substances?		×
3.	Does the site have documented on-site, adjacent, or nearby targets?		×
	he answers to questions 1, 2, and 3 above were all "yes" then answer the questions below before occeding to Part 3.	YES	NO
4.	Does documentation indicate that a target (e.g., drinking water wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site?		
5.	Is there an apparent release at the site with no documentation of exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site?		
6.	Is there an apparent release and no documented on-site targets or targets immediately adjacent to the site, but there are nearby targets (e.g., targets within 1 mile)?		
7.	Is there no indication of a hazardous substance release, and there are uncontained sources containing CERCLA hazardous substances, but there is a potential to release with targets present on site or in proximity to the site?		
	s:		
		· .	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

EXHIBIT 1 SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION GUIDELINES FOR A SITE

Exhibit 1 identifies different types of site information and provides some possible recommendations for further site assessment activities based on that information. You will use Exhibit 1 in determining the need for further action at the site, based on the answers to the questions in Part 2. Please use your professional judgement when evaluating a site. Your judgement may be different from the general recommendations for a site given below.

Sus	pected/Documented Site Conditions		APA	Full PA	PA/SI	SI
1.	There are no releases or potential to rele	ease.	Yes	No	No	No
2.	No uncontained sources with CERCLA-eligible substances are present on site.		Yes	No	No	No
3.	There are no on-site, adjacent, or nearby targets.		Yes	No	No	No
4.	There is documentation indicating that a target (e.g., drinking water	Option 1: APA ➪ SI	Yes	No	No	Yes
	wells, drinking surface water intakes, etc.) has been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site.	Option 2: PA/SI	No	No	Yes	NA
site w	There is an apparent release at the site with no documentation of	Option 1: APA ➪ SI	Yes	No	No	Yes
	exposed targets, but there are targets on site or immediately adjacent to the site.	Option 2: PA/SI	No	No	Yes	NA
6.	There is an apparent release and no documented on-site targets and no documented targets immediately adjacent to the site, but there are nearby targets. Nearby targets are those targets that are located within 1 mile of the site and have a relatively high likelihood of exposure to a hazardous substance migration from the site.		No	Yes	No	No
7.	There is no indication of a hazardous substance release, and there are uncontained sources containing CERCLA hazardous substances, but there is a potential to release with targets present on site or in proximity to the site.		No	Yes	No	No

Part 3 - EPA Site Assessment Decision

When completing Part 3, use Part 2 and Exhibit 1 to select the appropriate decision. For example, if the answer to question 1 in Part 2 was "no," then an APA may be performed and the "NFRAP" box below should be checked. Additionally, if the answer to question 4 in Part 2 is "yes," then you have two options (as indicated in Exhibit 1): Option 1 -- conduct an APA and check the "Lower Priority SI" or "Higher Priority SI" box below; or Option 2 -- proceed with a combined PA/SI assessment.

Check the box that applies based on the conclusions of the APA:						
×	NFRAP		Refer to Removal Program - further site assessment needed			
	Higher Priority SI		Refer to Removal Program - NFRAP			
	Lower Priority SI		Site is being addressed as part of another CERCLIS site			
	Defer to RCRA Subtitle	C	Other:			
	Defer to NRC					
Reg	ional EPA Reviewer:	Print Name/Signature	9- Ripley 7/10/2001 Date			

a de la companya de l

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, 88TH REGIONAL SUPPORT COMMAND 506 ROEDER CIRCLE FORT SNELLING, MINNESOTA 55111-4009

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF AFRC-CMN-EN

18 May 2001

MDEQ-HWD HAZARDOUS WASTE NOTFICATION HANNAH BUILDING, 1ST FLOOR ATTN: ELAINE SELLECK P.O. BOX 30241 LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

Subject: Indoor Firing Range Closures for State of Michigan

 This is to inform you of a one time cleanup action for the permanent closure of the Army Reserve indoor firing ranges. The following information is submitted for action taken as a one time clean-up for permanent closure of the indoor firing ranges at the following Army Reserve Centers in Michigan;

Facility Name and City	EPA ID#	Type and Quantity of HW Generated	Date Certified
Robert Poxon USARC, Southfield	MI6 210 490 458	660 gals rinse water containing lead (D008)	1/30/01 (rinse water) 3/27/01 (rinse – reclean)
W. Birbari USARC, Fraser	MI0 000 116 053	210 gals rinse water containing lead (D008) and approx. 28,900 lbs sand containing lead (D008)	1/30/01 (rinse water) 2/13/01 (sand)
George Custer USARC, Livonia	MI8 210 090 199	205 gals rinse water containing lead (D008) and approx. 17,980 lbs sand containing lead (D008)	1/30/01 (rinse water) 1/23/01 (sand)

- 2. We apologize for the inconvenience that this may have caused and wish to work with you diligently to continue a good neighbor relationship and promote the welfare of the MDEQ environmental compliance mission. With the deactivation of these ranges, (as well as a waste stream), and upon completion of your office administrative requirements, I would ask that the Generator Status of these facilities be changed back to an SQG. The hazardous waste generated will be reported on the Biennial Report due by 1 March 2002.
- 3. Thank you for your assistance, once this action is complete and if there are any further questions regarding this matter, please notify myself at commercial 612-713-3051.

Environmental Protection Specialists

88th Regional Support Command.

WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION



JOHN ENGLER, Governor

REPLY TO:

WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION

PO BOX 30241 LANSING MI 48909-7741

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

"Better Service for a Better Environment" HOLLISTER BUILDING, PO BOX 30473, LANSING MI 48909-7973

INTERNET: www.deq.state.mi.us RUSSELL J. HARDING, Director

May 25, 2001

David Moore, Environmental Protection Specialist Department of the Army 88th Regional Support Command 506 Roeder Circle Fort Snelling, MN 55111-4009

Dear Mr. Moore:

SUBJECT:

Notification of Regulated Waste Activity

Identification Number MI6 210 490 458

The Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) has received a Notification of Regulated Waste Activity dated May 18, 2001, which you submitted pursuant to Section 3010 of the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 6930 and Part 111, Hazardous Waste Management, of Michigan's Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended, MCL 32.111-01 et seq. for Robert Poxon USARC located at Southfield, Michigan.

This subsequent submittal updated the following information:

One time clean up of indoor firing range requiring change of generator status to LQG. Return to SQG status following cleanup.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 517-335-5035.

Sincerely,

Elaine Sellek Elaine Sellek

Notification Project Coordinator Waste Management Division

Southeast Michigan District, WMD, MDEQ cc:

File



"Zacharias, Kurt F Mr (88ENGR)" <Kurt.Zacharias@usa rc-emh2.army.mil> To: LAURA RIPLEY/R5/USEPA/US@EPA

CC:

Subject: Youngstown, OH U.S. Army Reserve Center: APA checklist...

07/10/01 11:19 AM

Laura, attached is the completed APA checklist.

I have also included the inquiry by the Army Reserve and the State's (Michigan DEQ) response letter regarding the LQG to SQG status. The second paragraph of the Army Reserve letter to the State requests that the LQG status be change to SQG. There is no one-time LQG exemption per se in the regs, but you can see here in the Michigan DEQ's letter that we have recieved a one-time exemption.

I will attach these documents to the environmental assessment report that I mail to you.

Let me know if you have any questions with what I have sent you. Thank you.

<<LQG exemption letter.pdf>> <<Youngstown OH APA checklist.pdf>>

Kurt Zacharias

88th Regional Support Command

506 Roeder Circle

Fort Snelling, MN 55111

Phone: 612-713-3821 Fax: 612-713-3516

Title: Environmental Protection Specialist

LQG exemption letter Youngstown OH APA checkli